BIZCOMMUNITY

Fast fashion lies: Will they really change their ways in a climate crisis?

By Anika Kozlowski

8 Aug 2019

Recently <u>Zara introduced a sustainability pledge</u>. But how can Zara ever be sustainable? As the largest fast-fashion retailer in the world, <u>they produce around 450 million garments a year</u> and <u>release 500 new designs a week</u>, about 20,000 a year.



©TEA via 123RF

Zara's fast-fashion model has been so successful it has inspired an entire industry to shift – churning out an unprecedented number of fashion garments year-round.

We live in an era of hyper-consumption in the middle of a climate crisis.

Clothing production doubled from 2000 to 2014. <u>The average consumer bought 60% more clothing in 2014 than in 2000</u>, <u>but kept each garment half as long</u>. Apparel consumption is projected to to rise by 63 per cent in the next 10 years. <u>And less than one per cent of all clothing produced globally is recycled</u>.

With production numbers like these, can any fast-fashion retailer claim sustainability?



Fashion production is modern slavery: 5 things you can do to help now Anika Kozłowski 26 Apr 2019

<

Fast fashion is not eco-friendly

The fast-fashion business model itself is the very antithesis to sustainability.

Some ideas that have been presented by fast-fashion companies include recycling. But even if garments are collected instore, the capabilities to recycle clothing at the scale needed for current production rates do not exist. It's also typically more energy-intensive to recycle than to produce new products.

Another concept, the one put forth recently by Zara, is to use only sustainable fabrics. But switching to sustainable fabrics while producing fashion clothing under the same model will not make any fast-fashion retailer sustainable.



Delhi, India, Nov. 2,

There is no such thing as a 100 per cent sustainable fabric. Fabrics require a tremendous amount of energy and natural resources to produce. Sustainable fabrics are just less harmful by reducing their environmental impact.

I spend a lot of time reading the corporate social responsibility reports of larger brands and interviewing micro-to-small sized enterprises to see how they approach sustainability. The largest significant difference between the two entities is culture.

Small brands focus on creating a culture of sustainability by producing less from the onset. They use strategies like producing made-to-order, so they are not making more than what is sold. They do this because waste is one of their biggest concerns. They also design clothing to be of the highest quality, ensuring durability and longevity, so clothes last a long time in your wardrobe. They will also repair your clothing so that you may keep it longer.



The most significant difference is that most small brands are opting to work under an "anti-fashion calendar." They deliberately chose not to follow the intense seasonal calendar that fashion functions under. Instead, they produce as needed. This is the opposite of how large companies function.

Large global corporate retailers are not seeking to change their fundamental business model or create cultures of sustainability. That would require re-working their entire business structure.

Corporate social irresponsibility

The fast-fashion growth model used by all large companies is predicated on limitless growth and disposable consumption.

Corporate brands and retailers provide statistics about their environmental impact reductions within their supply chains. Unlike annual financial reports, corporate social responsibility (CSR) reports are voluntary and not verified externally. Also, the way they measure their improvements is not consistent or standardized. Therefore, consumers can't compare one company to another without doing some wizard math.

Annual financial reports usually include ambitious goals to grow and expand. For example, <u>H&M opened up 145 new stores</u> this year and has expanded into four new markets: Ukraine, Kuwait, Saudi Arabia and United Arab Emirates (UAE).

Inditex, Zara's parent company, expects to open 300 new stores this year and <u>launch online sales in Dubai, Egypt,</u> <u>Indonesia, Israel, Lebanon, Morocco, Saudi Arabia, Serbia and UAE.</u>



etox campaign has exposed

Fast fashion is a "grow or die" business. And fast fashion continues to export this western consumerism into the Global South: this could have disastrous consequences. This is the paradox at the heart of western consumerist culture and one that goes beyond fast-fashion industry. <u>Neoliberal capitalist economies</u> require continuous consumption and define success through growth – concepts at odds with sustainability. Business growth must be decoupled from resource use.

The global population is set to grow by another two billion people in the next 30 years. To make a dent in the climate crisis,

The current carbon footprint of the <u>fashion industry is over eight per cent of total global greenhouse gas emissions</u>, larger than all international travel. Therefore, to achieve the <u>United Nations Sustainable Development Goals</u>, including the 2C global temperature target, the fashion industry must play an active role in changing how they operate, source, manufacture, distribute and approach the market.

It's not as simple switching out current textiles or packaging for more sustainable versions. Solutions to sustainability must include cultural change.

<



Adidas, Reebok and Patagonia ranked most transparent fashion brands 25 Apr 2019

How many wears does your clothing give you?

Even when a garment is produced using all sustainable materials, there are still many problems. Cheap costs and speedy production are why we still have labour issues like sweatshop conditions.

Zara has 1,800 suppliers in its supply-chain but does not disclose how often it audits individual suppliers per year to ensure compliance. If a garment is made to withstand only a couple months of wear – it is a massive waste of resources to produce that garment.



ghted sustainable wear. Leann Parker

Fast fashion doesn't nudge consumers towards more responsible consumption behaviours because that would ultimately hurt their bottom line.

I would have more faith in the sustainability initiatives by large fashion companies if they started to introduce alternative sustainable business model practices.



Zara aims to go global with e-commerce by 2020 6 Sep 2018

<

One small step could be to offer repair or tailoring services. Tailoring creates clothes that fit impeccably, subsequently increasing their emotional value. I believe that when garments fit well and are flattering to our body, we love them, and we keep them longer.

For the benefit of the earth and humanity, large brands need to look at how to move away from their continuous offerings of weekly new products. If these kind of changes were to occur, I would start to believe Zara and other fashion brands had good intentions to curb climate change.

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Anika Kozlowski, Assistant Professor of Fashion Design, Ethics and Sustainability, School of Fashion, Ryerson University

For more, visit: https://www.bizcommunity.com